WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das, Hon'ble Chairman & Administrative Member.

	SRI RANJAN KUMAR SARKAR - VERSUS- THE STATE OF W.B. & OTHERS	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar 3
16	For the Applicant : Mr. A. Hati,	
13.9.2019.	Mr. D. Mondal, Advocate.	
	For the State Respondent	
	No. 1 to 5 : Mr. S. Ghosh, Advocate.	
	For the Principal Accountant	
	General (A & E) West Bengal: Mr. B. Mitra, Departmental representative.	
	In this application the applicant has prayed for	
	certain reliefs, the relevant portion of which is as under :-	
	"(a)An order to issue by directing the	
	respondent authorities, their agents, subordinates and	
	successors to disbursed the pensionary benefits as well as	
	Salary from 27.07.2012 to 31.01.2013 in favour of the	
	applicant forthwith;	
	(b)An order do issue by directing the	
	respondent authorities, their agents, subordinates and	
	successors to set-aside/quashed/nullified the award	
	dated 05.12.2012 passed by the Learned Arbitrator	
	without jurisdiction in respect upon the Learned	
	Arbitrator".	

_						
⊏.	$\overline{}$	r	m	.	NΙ	
	.,				ıv	١.

_				_
CDI		A N I 1/	1111111	SARKAR
SKI	KANI	AINIK	IIIVIAK	NAKKAK

Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

.....

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
	It appears that the applicant was appointed	
	as Store keeper under the Director of Fisheries on 25 th	
	October, 1984 and was promoted to the post of Upper	
	Division Clerk on 8 th July, 2003. It has been stated that in	
	2009 the applicant was the honorary chairman of Kulia	
	Meen Credit Co-operative Society. During his tenure as	
	chairman, the Secretary of the said Society made a	
	complaint to the police alleging defalcation by the	
	applicant. Subsequently, arbitration proceedings were	
	initiated and an award was passed on 5 th December,	
	2012, the relevant portion of which is as under :-	
	"As because the above huge amount of	
	defalcation by two Ex-Directors are nothing but	
	embezzlement of fund of co-operative society and	
	creating with all the general members of the society, the	
	Arbitration Court passes order to opposite party No. 3,4	
	and 5 i.e. Asst. Director Fisheries cum D.D.O., Deputy	
	Director of Fisheries, P.O. N.S.S. ,P.S. – Kalyani, Dist. –	
	Nadia and Director of Fisheries, West Bengal J.N. Block,	
	Bidhannagar, Sector – 5 Meen Bhaban, Salt Lake City, Cal-	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

_						
С.	\sim	r	m	١I	NΙ	
	. ,				ıv	١.

CDI	RANJ	ΛNI		1 A D	CVDI	$V \wedge D$
וווכ	IVAIN	H	NUIV	IMI	SHIN	MI

Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

.....

....

		000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	91, not to disburse any retiral benefit to Sri Ranjan Sarkar	
	i.e. O.P. No.1 after his retirement on 31.01.2013 until Sri	
	Sarker refunds all the amount due to him with interest @	
	15% on the date of repayment. Af Sri Ranjan Sarker has	
	some deposition of money on or after 31.3.2011, that	
	amount will naturally be excluded from the claim against	
	him. Sri Sarker may refund the total amount through a	
	single payment a through twelve equal monthly	
	instalments. The amount of instalment will be fixed by	
	mutual settlement between Sri Ranjan Sarker and the	
	petitioner. This Court also comments that if Sri Ranjan	
	Sarker fails to repay any two consecutive instalments, the	
	petition has every right to appeal to the Execution Court	
	for recovery of amount.	
	This Arbitration Court also passes an Order to	
	opposite party No.3,4 and 5 not to disburse any retiral	
	benefit to Sri Uttam Kumar Chatterjee, i.e. O.P. No. 2	
	after his retirement untill Sri Chatterjee refunds all the	
	amount due to him by the society with interest @ 15% on	
	the date of repayment. The amount deposited by Sri	
	Uttam Kumar Chatterjee on or after 31.3.2011 will	
	natinally be excluded from. The claim against him. Sri	
	Chatrterjee may refund the total award through a single	

_						
С.	\sim	r	m	١I	NΙ	
	. ,				ıv	١.

_				_
CDI		A N I 1/	1111111	SARKAR
SKI	KANI	AINIK	IIIVIAK	NAKKAK

Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order. 1	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar 3
	payment a through six equal monthly instalments. The	
	amount instalment will be fixed by mutual settlement	
	between Sri Uttam KumarChatterjee and the petitioner.	
	This court also comments that if Sri Uttam Kumar	
	Chatterjee fails to repay any two consecutive instalments	
	the petitioner has every right to appeal to the Execution	
	Court for recovery of defalcated amount from Sri Uttam	
	Kumar Chatterjee".	
	According to Mr. A. Hati, learned advocate	
	for the applicant, under the service conditions as the	
	arbitrator cannot pass an award withholding retirement	
	benefits, appropriate order may be passed directing	
	payment of salary for the unpaid period and the	
	superannuation benefits.	
	Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State	
	respondent submits that admittedly award has been	
	passed on 5 th December, 2015 directing not to disburse	
	the retirement benefits of the applicant. Thereafter for	
	execution of the award, execution case has been filed,	
	which is proceeding. Moreover a criminal case is also	
	pending. Therefore, no order may be passed.	
	Mr. Hati in reply submits that he has no	
	knowledge whether appeal has been filed against the	

_		
Form	Nο	

Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

....

Case No. UA 364	ise No. UA 584 of 2017.	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	award passed by the arbitrator. However as it is admitted	
	that criminal case is pending, submission is appropriate	
	order may be passed directing the authorities to grant	
	interim allowance and to disburse the amount of the	
	applicant deposited in the general provident fund.	
	Heard learned advocate for the parties.	
	In view of the submission made on behalf of	
	the applicant, the application is disposed of by granting	
	liberty to the applicant to pray for interim allowanceby	
	filing an application before the Director of Fisheries,	
	Government of West Bengal – the respondent no. 5. If	
	such application is filed, the said respondent no. 5 shall	
	dispose of the same by passing a reasoned order to be	
	communicated to the parties, after giving an opportunity	
	of hearing, within ten weeks from the date of filing the	
	application along with a copy of this order. So far,	
	payment of GPF is concerned, keeping the award of the	
	Arbitrator in mind as well as the submission made on	
	behalf of the applicant, as this Tribunal has no jurisdiction	
	to adjudicate on the merits of an award dated 5 th	
	December, 2012 passed by the learned Arbitrator, the	
	applicant is also at liberty to file an application before the	
	respondent no. 5 regarding disbursement of GPF. If such	

	SRI RANJAN KUMAR SARKAR.	
Form No.		
	Vs.	
	THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.	

Case No. OA 584	4 of 2017.		
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal wi	th signature	Office action with date and dated signature
1			of parties when necessary 3
	application is filed, it shall be disp	posed of by passing a	
	specific order regarding disbursement of GPF within four		
	weeks after giving an opportunity of hearing.		
	(Subesh Kumar Das) Member (A).	(Soumitra Pal) Chairman.	
Skg.			